

## HEAD TO HEAD

## Is nudge an effective public health strategy to tackle obesity? No

**Adam Oliver** (doi:10.1136/bmj.d2168) maintains that nudges may help people to make healthier choices, but **Geof Rayner** and **Tim Lang** worry that government proposals are little more than publicly endorsed marketing

Geof Rayner *honorary research fellow*, Tim Lang *professor of food policy*

Centre for Food Policy, City University, London EC1V 0HB, UK

Over the past decade a common picture on the aetiology of obesity has become largely agreed. After years of competing analyses, most people now accept that obesity is the result of a complex multifactorial interplay.<sup>1 2</sup> It is not either food intake or physical activity but both. It is not just food oversupply or pricing or domestic culture or food marketing or poor consumer choice or genetic potential. In fact, it is all of these and more. At last, scientific advisers have accepted that they have an analysis to share with politicians and can begin the tortuous process of crafting frameworks for action.<sup>3</sup>

So why is the British government quietly breaking with this consensus and putting so much weight behind nudge thinking? Nudge is being presented as a new change mechanism from which public health gain will follow and as a means for avoiding the self defeating, heavy handedness of the state.

In fact, it is not that new. The notion that social norms set the framework within which behaviour occurs was articulated by 1930s social science and taken up by US advertising thinkers.<sup>4</sup> What is new, in the form it is championed by Thaler and Sunstein's book *Nudge*,<sup>5</sup> is the incorporation of a more psychological view of behaviour into neoclassical economics, a world in which it was previously thought that rational consumers make informed choices that drive markets.

It is these free market origins, updated to explain why consumers make the wrong choices, that lie behind the UK coalition government's enthusiasm for nudge. It dispenses with the complexity of real life contexts and acknowledges only the immediate proximal horizons of consumer choice. At a stroke, policy is reduced to a combination of cognitive and "light" environmental signals, such as location of foods within retail geography. Nudge, along with the responsibility deals, is presented as the alternative to regulation, or, in media jargon, the "nanny state."

### Commercial benefit

Richard Thaler, the Chicago economist and coauthor of *Nudge*, has argued that more regulation won't solve the problems created by Wall Street; only better information will. "Don't ban and mandate; just nudge."<sup>6</sup> What can it do for health? Nudge pitches government action at the soft end of policy interventions rather than the hard end where taxes, regulations, or bans feature.

Although the last government started the drift into this thinking via *Change4Life* as a social marketing approach, the coalition government has narrowed the strategy further. The government's behavioural insights team, advised by Thaler, sets out numerous nudge inspired interventions, ranging from smoking cessation packs from Boots to the Chemist to the London mayor's bicycle hire scheme, sponsored by Barclays Bank.<sup>7</sup> In 2011, a "Great Swapathon" was launched promising £50 vouchers for healthier choices but it emerged that shoppers needed to spend more than double that in order to redeem them.<sup>8</sup> This resulted in sceptical questioning in the House of Lords behaviour change inquiry.<sup>9</sup> The lesson here might be that nudge is a smokescreen for, at best, inaction and, at worst, publicly endorsed marketing. No wonder criticisms are voiced.<sup>10 11</sup>

We are not arguing that norms are unimportant. The understanding of the relation between norms and behaviour is complex, raising issues of habit formation, power, genetic vulnerability, individual and group behaviours, let alone the legacy of decades of marketing power and pricing messages. How can "nudge" reshape the agri-food business's long commitment to lower the price of fat, soft drinks, or high calorie readymade foods or the ubiquitous "offer" of food at every newsagent, station platform, and petrol station?

The responsibility deals with industry that were formalised in the 2010 public health white paper<sup>12</sup> add further concern to the use of nudging. Although Mr Lansley, the secretary of state for health, recently claimed the deals don't "put industry in the driving seat,"<sup>13</sup> the first of the three "pillars" in the deal is, "To enable, encourage and incentivise consumers to adopt a better

diet and to increase their levels of physical activity as part of a positive decision to lead a healthier lifestyle.”<sup>14</sup> Nevertheless, it seems that, at least in relation to the alcohol industry, questions of pricing or irresponsible marketing fall outside the permitted areas of discussion.<sup>15</sup> Our final worry is that nudge becomes collusion between the state and corporations to hoodwink consumers. At least nannies are overt.

**Competing interests:** All authors have completed the unified competing interest form at [www.icmje.org/coi\\_disclosure.pdf](http://www.icmje.org/coi_disclosure.pdf) (available on request from the corresponding author) and declare no support from any organisation for the submitted work; no financial relationships with any organisation that might have an interest in the submitted work in the previous three years; TL and GR are members of the expert advisory group on healthy weight healthy lives; TL is a commissioner on the Sustainable Development Commission. GR is consultant to an EC funded study of public-private partnerships in obesity.

**Provenance and peer review:** Commissioned; not externally peer reviewed.

1 Kopelman PG, Caterson ID, Dietz WH. *Clinical obesity in adults and children*. 3rd ed. Wiley-Blackwell, 2009.

- 2 Foresight. Tackling obesity: future choices. Government Office of Science, 2007.
- 3 WHO European Region. European charter on counteracting obesity, Istanbul Turkey, 16 November 2006. WHO European Region, 2006.
- 4 Elias N. *The civilizing process*. Basil Blackwell, 1978.
- 5 Thaler R, Sunstein C. *Nudge: improving decisions about health, wealth, and happiness*. Yale University Press, 2008.
- 6 Thaler R. Rethinking regulation after the financial crisis and oil spill: a behavioral approach. Graduate Council Lecture, 20 October 2010. [www.youtube.com/watch?v=zLMephdISTw](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zLMephdISTw).
- 7 Cabinet Office Behavioural Insights Team. Applying behavioural insight to health. Cabinet Office, 2010.
- 8 Children's Food Campaign. The great swapathon rip-off. 2011. [www.sustainweb.org/news/jan11\\_great\\_swapathon\\_ripoff/](http://www.sustainweb.org/news/jan11_great_swapathon_ripoff/).
- 9 House of Lords Select Committee on Science and Technology. Inquiry on behaviour change: evidence session 16, Tuesday 25 January 2011. [www.parliament.uk/documents/lords-committees/science-technology/behaviourchange/ucST1250111ev16.pdf](http://www.parliament.uk/documents/lords-committees/science-technology/behaviourchange/ucST1250111ev16.pdf).
- 10 Gilmore AB, Savell E, Collin J. Public health, corporations and the new responsibility deal: promoting partnerships with vectors of disease? *J Public Health* 2011;33:2-4.
- 11 Wise J. Nudge or fudge? Doctors debate best approach to improve public health. *BMJ* 2011;342:d580.
- 12 Department of Health. Healthy lives, healthy people: our strategy for public health in England. Cm7985. DH, 2010.
- 13 Stodell H. Lansley: "I won't give food industry the car keys on public health." *Grocer* 2011 Feb 4. [www.thegrocer.co.uk/articles.aspx?page=articles&ID=215677](http://www.thegrocer.co.uk/articles.aspx?page=articles&ID=215677).
- 14 Conservative Party. Responsibility deal 2: working with businesses to improve public health. 2008. [www.publichealthcommission.co.uk/pdfs/AboutPHC/ResponsibilityDeal.pdf](http://www.publichealthcommission.co.uk/pdfs/AboutPHC/ResponsibilityDeal.pdf).
- 15 Boseley S, Ball J, Syal R. Alcohol policy in tatters as health experts revolt. *Guardian* 2011 Feb 17. [www.guardian.co.uk/society/2011/feb/16/alcohol-policy-health-experts-revolt](http://www.guardian.co.uk/society/2011/feb/16/alcohol-policy-health-experts-revolt).

Cite this as: *BMJ* 2011;342:d2177